

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES  
INCORPORATED,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM INC,

Defendant.

Case No.17-cv-03022-JST (JSC)

**ORDER RE: EOLAS’S *IN CAMERA*  
SUBMISSION**

Re: Dkt. No. 458

At the September 6, 2018 status conference, Eolas agreed to provide the Court with unredacted versions of certain disputed documents for *in camera* review. (Dkt. Nos. 452, 453.) Eolas has since done so. (Dkt. No. 458.) Although no privilege log was included with the production, the Court notes that the following documents were submitted: MCKOOLPRIVREV109-118, 168-169, 181-182. Eolas states that these documents fall into one of two categories: (1) documents regarding patents other than the ’507 Patent, or (2) administrative matters.

Having reviewed the submission, the Court concludes there is no reason for the information in documents MCKOOLPRIVREV168-169 and 181-182, to be redacted. While the discussion in these emails may fall in the administrative matters category, Eolas previously agreed to “produce all pre-July 22nd internal McKool Smith documents that reference the ’507 prosecution” and the redactions on these pages fall within this category. (Dkt. No. 444 at 8:21-23.) Eolas shall therefore produce these documents in unredacted form. The redacted portions of the remaining documents fall within the first category and need not be produced in unredacted

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

form because they relate to patents other than the '507 Patent.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: September 18, 2018

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY  
United States Magistrate Judge