IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,

Plaintiff,

V.

TCL COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS LIMITED, a Chinese Corporation, TCT MOBILE LIMITED, a Hong Kong Corporation, TCT MOBILE (US), INC., a Delaware Corporation, and TCT MOBILE, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 15-634-JFB-SRF

SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES

INSTRUCTIONS:

Please follow the directions provided throughout this Verdict Form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous.

Please refer to the Jury Instructions for guidance on the law applicable to each question.

Throughout this form, "IP Bridge" means Plaintiff Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 and "TCL" means Defendants TCL Communication Technology Holdings Limited, TCT Mobile Limited, TCT Mobile (US), Inc., and TCT Mobile, Inc.

The "Asserted Patents" mean, collectively, U.S. Patent Nos. 8,351,538 (the "'538 patent") and 8,385,239 (the "'239 patent").

1. INFRINGEMENT

(Please indicate your answer with an "X," you must answer "yes" or "no" for every claim).

	Did IP Bridg ringes any c ction Nos. 18	e prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that TCL infringed of the following claims of the '538 Patent, as instructed in to 20?							
	Claim 15	Yes No							
	Claim 16	Yes No							
	Did IP Bridg ged or infringe truction Nos.	e prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that TCL es any of the following claims of the '239 Patent, as instructed 18 to 20?							
	Claim 9	Yes No							
	Claim 12	<u></u> Yes No							
•	If you answered "yes" to any of the above infringement questions, proceed to answer Question No. 2 with respect to that patent.								
•	 If you answered "no" to all of the above infringement questions, you should skip Question No. 2 and proceed to answer Question No. 3. 								
2. WILL	FUL INFRIN	GEMENT							
A. No. 47, that		by a preponderance of the evidence, as instructed in Instruction ement of the '538 patent was:							
		. Willful							
	×	Not willful							
B. No. 47, that		by a preponderance of the evidence, as instructed in Instruction ement of the '239 patent was:							
		Willful							
	_×	Not willful							
•	Proceed to	answer question No. 3.							

3. INVALIDITY

A. Did TCL prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of the Asserted Patents are invalid because they were anticipated by the prior art, as instructed in Instruction Nos. 22 to 26 or would have been obvious as instructed in Instruction Nos. 28a to 32a?

'239 Patent

B. Did TCL prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the Asserted Patents are invalid because they would have been obvious, as instructed in Instruction Nos. 28a to 32a?

'538 Patent

If you answered "no" to Question No. 3A and "yes" to Question 1A, proceed to answer Question No. 4 for the '239 Patent.

If you answered "no" to Question No. 3B and "yes" to Question No. 1B, proceed to answer Question No. 4 for the '538 Patent.

If you answered "yes" to both Question No. 3A and Question No. 3B, your deliberations are at an end. Have your foreperson sign and date this form and notify chambers that you have reached a verdict.

4.	PA	TEN	T	DA	1	VI.	A	G	E	S
----	----	-----	---	----	---	-----	---	---	---	---

What has IP Bridge proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, to be a fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory ("FRAND") royalty for use of the invention covered by all of the infringed and valid Asserted Patent(s)?

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. Your Foreperson should sign and date this form and notify my chambers that you have reached a verdict. The Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

Foreperson

Dated this ______ day of November, 2018