JURY VERDICT FORM

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided. Your answer to the questions must be unanimous. The questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answer to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this court as our verdict in this case.

INFRINGEMENT – LITERAL INFRINGEMENT

1

1.	Round		dy/OGAT stacked soybeans liter	the evidence that DuPont and Pioneer's ally infringed any of the asserted claims of
Claim	103	V	YES (a finding for Monsanto)	NO (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)
Claim	104	$\sqrt{}$	YES (a finding for Monsanto)	NO (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)
Claim	105	$\sqrt{}$	YES (a finding for Monsanto)	NO (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)
Claim	107		YES (a finding for Monsanto)	NO (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)
Claim	108	<u>/</u>	YES (a finding for Monsanto)	NO (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)
Claim	119		YES (a finding for Monsanto)	NO (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)
Claim	125		YES (a finding for Monsanto)	NO (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)
Claim	128	V	YES (a finding for Monsanto)	NO (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)

<u>INFRINGEMENT – DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS</u>

2.	Rour	idup I	Rea	dy/OGAT		er weight of bybeans infr alents?			3.5			
Claim	1		/ T	YES (a fi	nding for N	Monsanto)	 N	O (a fi	nding	for Du	Pont/Pior	neer)
Claim	2		_	YES (a fi	nding for N	Monsanto)	 N	O (a fi	nding	for Du	Pont/Pior	neer)
Claim	10		_	YES (a fi	nding for N	Monsanto)	 N	O (a fi	nding	for Du	Pont/Pior	neer)
Claim	57	-		YES (a fi	nding for N	Monsanto)	 N	O (a fi	nding	for Du	Pont/Pior	neer)
Claim	69			YES (a fi	nding for N	Monsanto)	 N	O (a fi	nding	for Du	Pont/Pior	neer)
Claim	131		_	YES (a fi	nding for N	Monsanto)	 N	O (a fi	nding	for Du	Pont/Pior	neer)
Claim	143			YES (a fi	nding for N	Monsanto)	 N	O (a fi	nding i	for Du	Pont/Pior	neer)
WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT												
3.						convincing claims of t					oneer's	
			<u>/</u>	YES (a fi	nding for N	Monsanto)	N	O (a fi	nding 1	for Du	Pont/Pior	eer)

VALIDITY

4. Have DuPont and Pioneer shown by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of the '247 patent are invalid?

Claim 1	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 2	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 10	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 57	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 69	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 103	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 104	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 105	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 107	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 108	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 119	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 125	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 128	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 131	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 143	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)

IMPROPER REISSUE

5. Have DuPont and Pioneer shown by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following asserted claims of the '247 patent are invalid due to improper reissue?

	·	
Claim 10	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 57	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 69	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 103	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 104	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 105	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 107	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 108	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 119	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 125	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioncer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 128	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 131	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)
Claim 143	YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer)	NO (a finding for Monsanto)

INEQUITABLE CONDUCT

6.		Have DuPont and Pioneer shown by clear and convincing evidence that Monsanto obtained the '435 and/or '247 patents by inequitable conduct?				
	a.	Have DuPont and Pioneer shown by clear and convincing evidence that Monsanto failed to supply material information, or supplied false information or statements, or engaged in egregious misconduct in connection with prosecution of the '435 and/or '247 patent applications?				
		YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer) NO (a finding for Monsanto)				
	b.	If you answer "YES" to Question 6.a., have DuPont and Pioneer shown by clear and convincing evidence that Monsanto acted with a specific intent to deceive the U.S. Patent Office?				
		YES (a finding for DuPont/Pioneer) NO (a finding for Monsanto)				
DAMA	4Gl	E <u>S</u>				
If you find that at least one asserted claim of the '247 patent is infringed by DuPont and Pioneer's Roundup Ready/OGAT stacked soybeans ("Yes" to Question No. 1 or "Yes" to Question No. 2); and						
	eabl	hat any infringed claim is valid ("No" to Question Nos. 4 and 5), and e ("No" to Question No. 6.a or 6.b), then you must answer the following				
7.	Wł	nat amount of reasonable royalty damages do you award to Monsanto?				
	\$_	1 Biccion Lollano				
Dated:		August 1, 2012 (Foreperson)				